

REMARKS

The Office Action indicates that "In view of the appeal brief filed on 2/18/2010, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY OPENED." Accordingly, appellant hereby exercises the option to file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111.

Claims 1-11, and 16-21 are presently pending and stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Abelard, U.S. Patent 7,130,526. Claims 22-26 are added.

The Office Action indicates that Abelard teaches "a FIFO (Display Manager of fig. 2, col. 5, lines 43 - col. 6, line 10; fig. 3) for storing indicators indicating images to be displayed (col. 6, lines 39-51, identifying the next picture to be displayed)."

Abelard is directed to a "Method and Device for Decoding a Video Stream in Trick Mode". Trick modes include out of order displaying of pictures, such as accelerated reverse. Claim 1 is amended to recite, among other limitations, "a FIFO for storing indicators indicating images to be displayed in a forward display order at normal speed". Assignee respectfully submits that "displayed in forward order at normal speed" does not read on Abelard's trick mode.

Furthermore, Assignee has added claims 22-25. Claims 22-25 recite, among other limitations, "wherein every picture is displayed". Note that Abelard contemplates that certain pictures will not be displayed in trick mode. For example, "the decoder can be instructed to decode an individual picture and to subsequently display it at a given time and for a certain number of frame intervals or not to display it at all." Accordingly, Assignee respectfully requests allowance for claims 22-25.

Additionally, claim 26 is added reciting, among other limitations, "wherein the queue directly transmits the indicators from the first processor to the second processor." It is noted that in Abelard, "the Video decoding manager programs the decoding of the newly detected picture, and if the picture must be displayed notifies the display manager through a queue that this picture is to be displayed". Col. 5, Lines 39-42.

It is first noted that the decoding manager and the display manager are both part of the video decoder. Additionally, the Office Action reads the second processor on element 14. In Abelard, "the queue" does not "directly transmit the indicators from" the Video decoding manager to element 14. Accordingly, Assignee respectfully requests allowance for newly added claim 26.

CONCLUSION

For at least the foregoing reasons, Assignee submits that each of the pending claims are now in a condition for allowance. Accordingly, Examiner is requested to pass this case to issuance.

It is believed that all monies for the actions described herein are provided with this correspondence. To the extent that additional monies are required for any of the actions requested in the correspondence, Commissioner is authorized to charge such fees and credit any overpayments to deposit account 13-0017.

Respectfully Submitted



Mirut Dalal
Attorney for Assignee
Reg. No. 44,052

September 7, 2010

McAndrews, Held & Malloy, Ltd.
500 West Madison - Suite 3400
Chicago, IL 60661
Phone (312) 775-8000
FAX (312) 775-8100